G R S IX-5]

Previous references

Mul Y 2-16 Sls 35,37,39,43

Deptt

1917.

File No. Y2-16

Serial Nos 31, 33, 38, 47

(Mucl.)

Later references

Mul Y2-16 Sls 41 to 46 and 47

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 31, 33, 38, 47

Part B for Aug '17

SUBJECT

Promotion, to the Grade of Temporary Overseer on Rs 80 + 20 p.m., of Mr D. Venkata Rao, Head Surveyor of the office of the city Drainage Project

No 1055 of 16 8 17 To the Presd, B C Mul Council

Govt States that the recommendation will be considered if the Mul Council agree to pay the extra Cost

W 13 9 17

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7 16

G R S 11] 1916-17

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Ml.)

NOTES

File No 72-16

FILE HEADING

Bangalore Drainage Scheme

From

The President, Munl Council, Bangalore City

No 415/C9 Dated 28th Feb 1917 Received 2/3/17

Diary No. 2679 | Enclos 1 File Spare Copies | Serial No 31

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Recommends that Mr D Krishnarao, Head Surveyor, of the Office of the City Drainage Project may be promoted to the grade of a temporary Overseer on Rs 80-20 per mensem.

NOTES AND ORDERS

It is not clear whether the present recommendation is to fill up an existing vacancy in the temporary scale sanctioned for the scheme or alter the scale by the creation of an additional Overseer's

NOTES—First Sheet

WD 1289—GPB—50 000—1-18-16

2358/1

2)

G R S III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Ml File No 72 of 1916

Serial No	
	post on the emoluments given.
	2. No information is available in the Secretariat as to what the scale of the establishment in question is
	3. A draft enquiring is put up for orders.
	Ogilvie 15/3/17 Jotwani 20/3/17
	Keep Endorse made in file of Syllabus dealing [illegible]
33	To the President, Bd Council, B Cf, a 6387 of 2 & 17- Requests to be furnished with information on certain points in connection with the recommendation made in the above (fl 31)
38	From the same Officer No 358 524/C9 of 17.5.17 States, Mr Krishnaraja is a permanent Sub. overseer of PWD to whom a promotion to Rs 50 is stated to be due & recommends promotion by creation of a temporary overseer's post on Rs 80+30 instead of Rs 60+20
	Seen for order [illegible]
	4 This question depended on the general point raised in Govt. 72-16 decided 35, 37, 39 & 43, 8 June '17.
	5 For orders with a draft for sanctioning the President's recommendation.
	Ogilvie 21/7/17

NOTE—Contn sheet

ND 12 3—G I J —60 CCO—1 12-16—S.

G R S III 2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Jud File No 72 of 1916

Serial No

return dated 26th June 1917

6 Para 2 of the desp- 90 put up for approval involves an increase of Expenditure of Rs 35/- over the lumpsum grant of Rs 450/- sanctd for the outdoor field establishment. This is objectionable as only recently sanction was accorded to the proposal of the Resident to incur expenditure on account of establ so as not to Exceed the lumpsum grant of Rs 450/- provided the scale of pay did not Exceed that allowed to the P.W.D subordinate The points for consideration therefore are

(1) Whether the pay now proposed to Mr Krishnarao is consistent with the scale of pay prevailing in P W D for similar offices

(2) Whether it is necessary to increase the lumpsum grant of Rs 450/- in view of the increase proposed to the pay of Mr Krishnarao

~~In the first place the pay now proposed to Mr Krishnarao is in Excess~~

7 As regards (1) it will be seen from the letter under disposal that the proposal of the Resident is to appoint Mr Krishnarao as a temporary overseer - an office of the upper subordinate class in the P W D, while it would appear to be the intention of the G O sanctioning the establ, that such establishment shall be of the lower subordinate kind & work

NOTE—Contn sheet

336

WD 1233—GPB—6C CCC—1 12-16—S.

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mel File No 72 of 1916

Serial No

ascertained from an
al enquiry in the
2 offrs that the head fmn have
not on the lower subordinate
lishment but this should
have subd earlier MK 27/7

unavoidable delay has
personally explained
MK
7/7

under the direct subordination of the Exec Engr
the proposal thus assumes the aspect of a
special recommendation on behalf of the
individual in question, and not one which
in the interests of the Drainage scheme it is
absolutely necessary to sanction.

8 As regards (2) no special reasons exist
for altering the lumpsum grant once
sanctioned, as the amount allotted is in
accordance with the proposals of the Resdt

9 Draft sanctioning the proposals is also
in the file.

MK
4/27/7

Revd)

10 The offr's permanent pay in the
P W D, upto the increment said to have
fallen due, is Rs 50/- In the Munity
he is getting Rs 60+20 The proposal
now is to raise his emoluments to
Rs 80+30, in view of his heavy and
responsible work The office notes
recorded above would not justify
any increase

2nd member
BD
30/7/17

413
30-7-17

11 There seem to be no reason to change
the executive set up of the city drainage
project - the [illegible] to

[illegible]—Contn sheet

3987 5)

JD 1253—GPB—60 COC—1 12-16—S.

G R S III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Ml File No 72 of 1916

Serial No	
—	If the Mal agrees to pay the extra cost the proposal may be sanctioned by [illegible]
HRD	
	Subs.
12	A draft letter to the President is put up as directed in the routine notes below
	MM AMM 7/8/17 Revised 8 — MK 9/8/17
3/7 13-8-17	2nd member — 13/8/17
47	To the Presdt, B.C Rel Council, No 1055 S/16 & 17 — States that there appears to be no reason to change the Executive Establt of the City Drainage Project as sanctioned in Sl 43 & that if the Rel Council agree to pay the extra cost, the recommendation for increase to Rs 7 D. Krishna Rao will be considered.
41	

NOTE—Contn sheet

D 2679

72-6-87

Enclo

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

Bangalore City, dated 28th Feb 1917

From

DEWAN BAHADUR RAJA SABHA BHUSHANA
K P PUTTANNA CHETTY, ESQ,
Honorary President, Municipal Council,
Bangalore City

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Departments, Bangalore

SIR,

I have the honor to forward herewith, for the favourable consideration of Government, correspondence, in original, ending with the Chief Engineer's letter No 1811 dated the 15th February 1917 in the matter of promoting Mr D Krishna Rao, Head Surveyor on Rs 60 plus 20 in the Office of the Executive Engineer, City Drainage Project, to the post of temporary Over-seer on a pay of Rs 80 plus 20 per mensem

2 I agree with the opinion of the Chief Engineer

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K P Puttanna Chetty
Honorary President

C.R.G.

D 2249
G 1412/17

191

From Dewan Bahadur Raja Sabha Bhushana
MAR 917 T. P. Ruttanna Chetty, Esq.,
Honorary President, City Municipal Council
Bangalore City

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue Department
Bangalore

Dated 28th February
Despatched 1st March 917

Received

General No 415-
No C 9

Enclosure No 1 File

Recommending that Mr
D. Krishna Rao Head Surveyor
Office of the City Drainage
Project may be promoted
to the grade of a temporary
Overseer on Rs 80 + 20 Hers
Messeu.

GPB—50 C10—26 9 19

(1)

TELEGRAPHIC ADDRESS
Statewoks

No 1811

MYSORE P W DEPARTMENT

CENTRAL OFFICE,

Bangalore 16th February 1917

From
Rajasabhabhushana
KARPUR SHRINIVASRAO, ESQ, BSc, LCE,
Chief Engineer of Mysore,
Bangalore

To
Dewan Bahadur Rajasabhabhushana
M. P. Puttanna Chetty, Esq.,
Honorary President, Municipal Council,
Bangalore City.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.216/C.I. dated the 23rd December 1916, asking for an expression of opinion as to the eligibility of Suboverseer Mr.D.Krishna Rao for promotion to the grade of Overseer, I have the honour to inform you that from my persona knowledge I can say that the abilities of the subordinate are decidedly above the average.

2. In order to ascertain whether, by his present work, he has done equally well to merit that opinion, I asked Mr.D'Cruz to report the nature of the work entrusted to Mr.Krishna Rao and the manner in which he has carried out the same. Attached is a copy of Mr.D'Cruz's letter dated the 7th February 1917 which speaks for itself.

3. As Mr.Krishna Rao possesses a special aptitude for surveying towns and planning for improvements, he may be appointed temporary Overseer.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Karpur Srinivasrao
Chief Engineer of Mysore

GPB—5,000 '16

191

MYSORE P W DEPARTMENT

No

From

THE CHIEF ENGINEER OF MYSORE

Bangalo

To

Dated Bangalore

Despatched

Enclosure No

SUBJECT

D.O. letter No.673 dated the 7th February 1917 from the Executive Engineer, City Drainage Scheme, Bangalore, to the Chief Engineer of Mysore.

To state categorically the reasons for recommending D.Krishna Rao, I would number them under different heads.

I. Good work.- The Head surveyor had done good work in the Mysore City Improvement. He, unaided, surveyed and contoured the whole of Tumkur town and made proposals for its improvement. He further did good work in the Cauvery Dam and the Arsikere Railway and in gauging operations at Sivasamudram. He finally had in Bangalore supervised and checked the survey of the whole city training men in the detail of city surveys.

II. Qualifications (1) He possesses the group certificate in surveying and levelling. He is very intelligent and practical, a first class city surveyor and leveller. (2) very well trained in the details of sewerage works and in town improvement works (3) can manage men (contractors and subordinates) and extract good work

III. He must be regarded as a specialist in the Public Works Department and must therefore be paid specially.

IV. Status- When a man has over a dozen Subovorseers and surveyors working under his guidance and for whose work he is responsible as to accuracy and out-turn, I venture to think that his status should be commensurately higher than theirs. They are lower subordinates, so he should be something higher.

The above considerations and the fact that he is a progressive man having completed the I.C.S. course in surveying and levelling and having enrolled for a complete course in Municipal Engineering, led me to recommend him.

"Copy"

216 A 25 4/16 (4)
C.D.
(3)

23rd December

The Chief Engineer
in Mysore, B a n g a l o r e

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No 12803 of 19th December 1916 anent Mr. D Krishna Rao's promotion I shall feel obliged by your expressing an opinion as to the eligibility of this Officer for promotion to the grade of Overseer with reference to his qualifications, service and standing in the Department and other circumstances

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Honorary President

C.R.C.

TELEGRAPHIC ADDRESS
Statewoks

(4)

No 12803

MYSORE P W DEPARTMENT

CENTRAL OFFICE,

Bangalore 19th December *1916*.

From Rajasabhabhushana

KARPUR SHRINIVASRAO, ESQ, B SC, L C E,

Chief Engineer of Mysore,

Bangalore

To

The Honorary President, Municipal Council,

Bangalore City.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.190/C.I. dated the 9th December 1916, forwarding copy of letter No.440 dated the 4th idem from the Executive Engineer, City Drainage Project, recommending that Mr. D.Krishna Rao, Head Surveyor, may be promoted as an Overseer on Rs.80/-, I have the honour to inform you that there is no objection to the proposal, provided the increased cost involved thereby is met by the Municipality.

2. Mr. Krishna Rao should be given distinctly to understand that, when he reverts to this Department, he will not be entitle to a higher rate of pay than what he was drawing prior to his transfer and that he cannot be placed above his seniors on the list to the prejudice of their claims.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

For Chief Engineer of Mysore

H.R.R.

(5) 190 Enc. one. 9
CO

9th December

The Chief Engineer and
Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department, Bangalore

I have the honor to forward herewith, for favourable consideration, copy of letter No. 440 dated the 4th December 1916, from the Executive Engineer, City Drainage Project, recommending that Mr D. Krishna Rao, Head Surveyor under him may be made, for the reasons stated in the letter, an upper Subordinate on Rs. 80/- with the usual allowance &c ,

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Honorary President.

C M.G.

hac

(6)

Executive Engineer's Office,
City Drainage Project,
Bangalore, dated 4th December 1916

From no. 182
9 m/h

From

The Executive Engineer,

City Drainage Project,

B a n g a l o r e.

To

The Honorary President,

City Municipal Council,

B a n g a l o r e

S i r,

I have the honor to bring to your notice that the survey and contours of the City and Extensions are practically completed.

In this connection I venture to refer to the yeoman service rendered by D Krishna Rao, Head Surveyor, more especially, when I was in charge of the Sanitary Division and had water supplies and drainage and Town planning schemes in towns all over the province, the brunt of the whole work in Bangalore City fell on him and after checking several blocks which were checked by him, I have no hesitation in stating that he has done very well It is some-thing-when there are several men working and nearly hundred blocks surveyed to have them fit into an harmonious whole It required great care, in having all chains to standard length and all side details to coincide, and involved hard-work

Having finished the surveys Mr Krishna Rao is helping me in the design. He will wher the work starts be the man most intimately acquainted with every detail of work

I therefore feel it is common justice that he be encouraged and would recommend that he be made an u per subordinate on ₹80/- with the usual allowances with an improved position and better salary, it would help him to appreciate his responsibilities all the more.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

T.P C

B C E, A M T P I (Lng)

GPB—50,000—25-9-17

19

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.
No

Enclosure No.

G R S 26] GEN & REV SECRETARIAT TYPING Sec. 30 MAR 1917

Proposal to appoint Sri D Krishnarao, Supervisor, as temporary overseer, Bangalore City Drainage Project

File No 72-46
Serial No

Diary No of letter replied to 2629

No. 6381
Rl 72. 16-33
DJ 4.1

General and Revenue Secretariat
(M.)
the 191 .

To
The President,
Municipal Council,
Bangalore City.

Date of issue 2.4.17
Signed by for B. Subbarayan

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 415 C.I dated 28th Feb 1917 I am directed to request that information may be kindly furnished on the following points:—

1) whether Sri Krishnarao is a person eligible for the proposed appointment is to fill an existing vacancy of a temporary overseer by promoting Mr. D Krishna Rao to it or to create a temporary overseer's post on Rs. 30+20/- on

over

SUBJECT

9) Jurisdiction of the Krishna River,
(3) What is
the executive establishment
sanctioned for the city
Drainage Project
A copy of the Schedule
of Establishment sanctioned
and (2) the City Improvements
for which the said project may
be submitted for the informa-
tion of Govt.

Yours obedt servt

[signature]

For Secy to Revenue

by

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

GPE—20 CS —10-10-16

B M 109]

GEN. & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
L. FUND.
21 MAY 1917
D3387

Mc 72—16—38

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
BANGALORE CITY, DATED 17-5-1917

FROM

DEWAN BAHADUR RAJA SABHA BHUSHANA
K P PUTTANNA CHETTY, ESQ,
Honorary President, Municipal Council,
Bangalore City

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
~~General and~~ Revenue Departments,
Bangalore.

SIR,

Adverting to your letter No 6381/Ml.72-16-33 dated the 2nd April 1917 calling for certain information regarding Mr D Krishna Rao, Temporary Head Surveyor working under Mr D'Cruz, I have the honor to state as follows -

(1) Mr Krishna Rao is a lent official from the Department of Public Works, where he holds a permanent post as Sub-Overseer on Rs 45/- and his promotion to Rs. 50/- is alleged to be due to him from July 1916 He is not a permanent employee of the Municipality.

(2) He has been recommended for a temporary promotion to Rs. 80 plus 30, by the creation of a temporary Overseer's post in the City Drainage Project, in consideration of his responsible work as the officer next to the Executive Engineer

(3) The Executive establishment for the City Drainage Project is as noted below -

1.	Head Surveyor on Rs	60	plus	20	conveyance allowance	80
2	Two Sub-Overseers on Rs	35	plus	15		100
3	Three "	25	"	15		120
4.	Five Surveyors "	20	"	10		150
					Total Rs	450

2 Y 1017
191

From

Dewan Bahadur Raja
Sabha Bhushana
K P Puttanna Setty, Esq
Hon President.
Bangalore

To

The Secretary, Govt of Mysore,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore

Dated 17 5 17

Despatched 19 5 17

Received

General No 524
No. C 8

Enclosure No.

Submitting further information called for in Go No 6381/mt 72-K-33 dated the 2nd April 17 in the matter of the promotion recommended to Mr D Krishna Rao temporary Head Surveyor

4 Besides the above, an office establishment as per scale noted in the margin*, is also sanctioned for the City Drainage Project And an additional temporary establishment for the City Improvements to work under Mr D'Cruz has been sanctioned by Government as per schedule# noted in the margin

1	Architectural draftsman	Rs 100
1	Assistant "	50
2	Surveyors on 25+10 each	70
1	Cashier	35
1.	Head peon	7
1	Watcharan	6
1	Sweeper	4
	Total Rs	272

Vide G O. No.3353-5/Ml 94-16-10 dated 27th Nov 1916

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Honorary President.

* 1 Head Draftsman on Rs. 60
1 Clerk 25
1 Typist 20
4 peons on Rs 6 each 24
1 Watchmann 6
Total Rs 135

Vide G O No 1381-2/Ml 72-16-7 and 2397-8/Ml 72-16-18 dated 17-8-1916 & 19-10-1916 respectively.

C R G

G R S V1—G/32]

SUBJECT 1 14(a) ✓ Bforms

Diary No of letter replied to 3387

Section Mnl
File No 72-16
Serial No

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
(IV)
, the 191

No 1055 Mnl 72-16-47 9.8.17.

Date of issue 16.8.17

Signed by [illegible]

To

The President,
Mysore Council,
Bangalore City

Sir,

With reference [illegible] C9 to correspondence ending with your letter No 524/C9 of 17th May 17 I am directed to state that there appears to be no reason to change the executive Establishment of the City drainage Project at a sanctioned cost of Rs 4,50,000 as fixed in G.O No 8300-2 Mnl 72-16-43 dated 26.6.17

over

13)

and that if the Municipal Council agree to pay the Rs 3 extra cost, the recommendation for increase to Mr. D Krishna Rao will be considered by Board.

Thanks

Rec'd 13/7/17

9.8.17

Last of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

W Dec—GPE—60,000—1s-11 16